

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/550,596 04/17/2000 10138-0002-2 Mauro Bettiati 1077 **EXAMINER** 04/15/2004 U P Peter Eng RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO Wison Sonsini Goodrich and Rosati ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 2828

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_1		-	w
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/550,596	BETTIATI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Armando Rodriguez	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a virinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE:			,
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 24-39.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appl	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		Don Wong Supervisor Art Unit 2828	3

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive as discussed in the final rejection mailed January 29, 2004, Ventrudo et al does disclose a maximum wavelength, see response to argument of the final rejection. Furthermore, applicant continues to make reference to a gain curve within the claim language the gain curve is represented by applicant's drawings, thereby applicant implies to claim a drawing which represents the gain curve, which is impermissible See 37 CFR 1.58.